AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

U.S. Application No.: 10-670,005

Attorney Docket No.: Q77667

**REMARKS** 

The Office Action of September 27, 2005 has been received and its contents carefully

considered.

Claims 1 to 20 are all the claims pending in the application, prior to the present

amendment.

The Examiner states that claims 17-20 have been allowed, and that claims 2-9, 11 and 12

would be allowable if written in independent form.

Claims 1-20 have been rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type

double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 to 6, 9, 11, 13, 15 and 17-19 of the

copending application No. 10/738,307. The Examiner states that she is maintaining the double

patenting rejection since applicants have not submitted a Terminal Disclaimer.

Applicants respond to this rejection by stating that they will defer a response until the

copending application matures into a patent.

Claims 1, 10 and 13-16 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as anticipated by the

newly cited WO 2001/92437 document to Mizutani et al.

In addition, claims 1, 10 and 13-16 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as

anticipated by JP 2000-355687 to Yanagi et al.

In response, applicants have amended claim 1 to incorporate the allowable subject matter

of claim 2, have canceled claim 2, have amended claim 4 to change its dependency to claim 1,

have made appropriate amendments to claims 10-14 and have cancelled claims 15 and 16.

Further, applicants have rewritten claim 3 as an independent claim.

10

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

U.S. Application No.: 10-670,005

Attorney Docket No.: Q77667

Still further, in Paragraph 8 of the Office Action, the Examiner sets forth a description of

what she considers to be allowable subject matter. In particular, the Examiner states that a

device containing a compound of formula (I) wherein R<sup>11</sup> is substituted, R<sup>12</sup> is substituted, Y<sup>11</sup>,

Y<sup>12</sup> and Y<sup>13</sup> are each substituted carbon, M (M<sup>11</sup>) is iridium, L (L<sup>11</sup>) is 2-phenyl pyrrole, n<sup>11</sup> is 1,

n<sup>12</sup> is 2 and n<sup>13</sup> is 0 is considered to be allowable subject matter.

Accordingly, applicants have added new independent claim 21 based on the Examiner's

description of allowable subject matter. Applicants note that new claim 21 states that  $L^{11}$  is a 2

phenyl pyridine, as applicants believe that this is what the Examiner intended.

In view of the above, applicants submit that the claims that are now in the application are

allowable over the cited prior art and accordingly, request withdrawal of these rejections.

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed

to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the

Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is

kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue

Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any

overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Sheldon I. Landsman

Registration No. 25,430

11

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 U.S. Application No.: 10-670,005 Attorney Docket No.: Q77667

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE 23373
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: December 22, 2005